

1 ERIC W. BUETHER (*pro hac vice* admitted)
2 CHRISTOPHER M. JOE (*pro hac vice*
admitted)
3 KENNETH P. KULA (*pro hac vice* admitted)
BLAKE BUETHER (*pro hac vice* admitted)
BUETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLC
1700 Pacific, Suite 4750, Dallas, TX 75201
Telephone: (214) 466-1270
Facsimile: (214) 635-1842
Email: Eric.Buether@BJCIPLaw.com
Chris.Joe@BJCIPLaw.com
Ken.Kula@BJCIPLaw.com
Blake.Buether@BJCIPLaw.com

7 *Attorneys for Plaintiffs*
ELI ATTIA & ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC

9 JAMIE L. DUPREE (158105)
FUTTERMAN DUPREE DODD CROLEY MAIER
LLP
10 180 Sansome Street, 17th Floor
San Francisco, California 94104
Telephone: (415) 399-3840
Facsimile: (415) 399-3838
Email: jdupree@fddcm.com

13 *Local Counsel for Plaintiff*
ELI ATTIA AND ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT
PC

JAMES W. CHRISTIAN (*pro hac vice*
admitted)
CHRISTIAN, SMITH & JEWELL, LLP
2302 Fannin, Suite 500
Houston, Texas 77002
Telephone: (713) 659-7617
Facsimile: (713) 659-7641
Email: JChristian@CSJ-Law.com

7 *Attorney for Plaintiffs*
ELI ATTIA & ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC

9 PROFESSOR G. ROBERT BLAKELY*
(*pro hac vice* admitted)
Notre Dame Law School
7002 East San Miguel Avenue
Paradise Valley, AZ 85325
Telephone: (574) 514-8220

12 *For identification purposes only

13 For other parties noted on signature

19 Eli Attia and Eli Attia Architect PC,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

22 Google, Inc., Flux Factory, Inc., Larry Page,
Sergey Brin, Sebastian Thrun, Eric "Astro"
Teller, Michelle Kaufmann, Jennifer Carlile,
Augusto Roman, Nicholas Chim, and DOES 1-
100,

24 Defendants.

Case No. 5:17-cv-06037-BLF

Honorable Beth Labson Freeman

25 **STIPULATION FOR ENTRY OF ORDER
OF DISMISSAL**

1 Plaintiffs Eli Attia (“Mr. Attia”) and Eli Attia Architect PC (“Attia PC”) (collectively,
 2 “Plaintiffs”) hereby stipulate to the entry of the attached Stipulated Order of Dismissal as follows.
 3

4 1. This is an action brought by Eli Attia and Eli Attia Architect PC (“Plaintiffs”)
 5 against Google, Inc., Flux Factory, Inc., Larry Page, Sergey Brin, Sebastian Thrun, Eric “Astro”
 6 Teller, Michelle Kaufmann, Jennifer Carlile, Augusto Roman, Nicholas Chim, and DOES 1-100
 7 (collectively “Defendants”). Plaintiffs are asserted asserting (1) a state law claim for breach of
 8 contract against Google, (2) a state law claim for misappropriation of trade secrets against all
 9 Defendants, (3) a claim for theft of trade secrets under the Defend Trade Secrets Act, 18 U.S.C. §
 10 1836(b)(1) (“DTSA”) against Defendants Google and Flux, and (4) a claim under the Racketeer
 11 Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act (“RICO”), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961, *et. seq.* Defendant
 12 Google has asserted state law based counterclaims against Plaintiffs. None of the Defendants have
 13 asserted counterclaims against the Plaintiffs under any federal law.

14 2. This Court has original subject matter jurisdiction over the claims based on the
 15 DTSA and RICO based upon 28 U.S.C. § 1332, and supplemental jurisdiction over the state law
 16 claims and counterclaims under 28 U.S.C. § 1337(c).

17 4. On June 13, 2018, the Court issued its Order (1) Granting with Leave to Amend
 18 Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss RICO Claims in the Fourth Amended Complaint and (2) Denying
 19 Plaintiffs’ Partial Motion to Remand (the “Motion to Dismiss Order”).

20 5. Pursuant to the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order, Plaintiffs have filed a Fifth
 21 Amended Complaint revising certain allegations in response to the Court’s statements at the March
 22 8, 2018, hearing on Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss and the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order.

23 6. In view of the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order and for purposes of this stipulation
 24 and any resulting appeal only, Plaintiffs stipulate that, under the Court’s Motion to Dismiss Order,
 25 Plaintiffs cannot prevail on their claims against Defendants Google and Flux in the Fifth Amended

1 Complaint under the DTSA and RICO. Therefore, Plaintiffs stipulate and agree to the entry this
2 stipulated order of dismissal for the purpose of enabling them to appeal the dismissal of those
3 claims as stated in the Court's Motion to Dismiss Order. In view of this stipulation, Plaintiffs'
4 claims against Defendants Google and Flux in the Fifth Amended Complaint under the DTSA and
5 RICO should be dismissed with prejudice and their state law claims against Defendants and the
6 state law counterclaims of Defendant Google against them are remanded to the state court for lack
7 of subject matter jurisdiction.

8 7. This stipulated order of dismissal is without prejudice to Plaintiffs' ability to appeal
9 the Court's Motion to Dismiss Order and the order dismissing with prejudice Plaintiffs' claims
10 under the DTSA and RICO based in whole or in part on that order. Moreover, if the Court's
11 Motion to Dismiss Order is not affirmed on any appeal and this matter is remanded, the parties
12 shall have all rights to pursue any claims or defenses they could have asserted had they not entered
13 into the stipulation.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 Dated: August 13, 2018

2 **BUEETHER JOE & CARPENTER, LLC**

3 */s/ Eric W. Buether* _____

4 Eric W. Buether
5 Christopher M. Joe
6 Kenneth P. Kula
7 Blake W. Buether
8 1700 Pacific Avenue, Suite 4750
Dallas, TX 75201
(214) 466-1271
(214) 635-1827 – Fax

9 Jamie L. Dupree (#158105)

10 **FUTTERMAN DUPREE DODD CROLEY MAIER LLP**
11 180 Sansome Street, 17th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94104
(415) 399-3840
(415) 399-3838 – Fax

12 James W. Christian

13 **CHRISTIAN, SMITH & JEWELL, LLP**
14 2302 Fannin, Suite 500
Houston, Texas 77002
(713) 659-7617
(713) 659-7641 – Fax

15 Professor G. Robert Blakey Emeritus*
16 Notre Dame Law School
17 7002 East San Miguel Ave.
Paradise Valley, AZ 85325
D.C. Bar 424899
18 Tele. 574-514-8220
Of Counsel

19 * For identification purposes only.

20 **ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS**
21 **ELI ATTIA AND ELI ATTIA ARCHITECT PC**